



Rex Laboratory BULLETIN

April - June 2014

UPDATES AND INFORMATION FROM REX PATHOLOGY LABORATORY

Issue Number 202



NC-Specific Respiratory Allergen Panel

Mayo Medical Laboratories (MML) recently announced the creation of region-specific respiratory allergen panels.¹ As a result, there is now a panel designed for common respiratory allergens in North Carolina as designated below. The formal name for it is Respiratory Profile, Region 2, Mid-Atlantic (MML Test Code RPR2). The current charge is \$198. Accordingly, requests for "Southeastern United States" or "Respiratory" allergy panel testing without further specification will result in the ordering of the panel below. A more detailed discussion of allergy testing available through MML was presented in an earlier Laboratory Bulletin.²

Respiratory Profile, Region 2, Mid-Atlantic (DC, DE, MD, NC, VA)

Immunoglobulin E (IgE)

House Dust Mites/ *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*

House Dust Mites/ *Dermatophagoides farinae*

Cat Epithelium

Dog Dander

Bermuda Grass

Timothy Grass

Johnson Grass

Cockroach

Penicillium chrysogenum

Cladosporium

Aspergillus fumigatus

Alternaria tenuis

Box Elder/Maple

Silver Birch

Mountain Cedar

Oak

Elm

Cottonwood

Pecan,

Hickory

Mulberry

Short Ragweed

Rough Pigweed

Red Sorrel



John D. Benson, M.D.

References

1. Mayo Medical Laboratories. *New Test Announcements. Communiqué Vol 39 No 3, May/June 2014.*
2. Benson JD, Griffin R, Brown D. *Allergy Testing at Rex (Mayo) Laboratory. Rex Laboratory Bulletin Issue 189, Sept 2012.*

REX PATHOLOGY ASSOCIATES, P.A.

John D. Benson, M.D.

(919) 784-3059

Timothy R. Carter, M.D.

(919) 784-3058

Keith V. Nance, M.D.

(919) 784-3286

F. Catrina Reading, M.D.

(919) 784-3255

Vincent C. Smith, M.D.

(919) 784-3056

Preeti H. Parekh, M.D.

(919) 784-3060

John P. Sorge, M.D.

(919) 784-3062

Keith E. Volmar, M.D.

(919) 784-2506

Suzanne White, Pathology Service Specialist

(919) 612-7272

Rhonda Humphrey, Practice Manager

(919) 784-3063



Creatinine Clearance Discontinued

We will discontinue the “creatinine clearance” test in June, coincident with the implementation of the EPIC hospital information system. Requests for this test have decreased significantly since we began reporting estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate along with serum creatinine values.^{1,2} Many laboratories (including UNC Hospitals Laboratory) have already discontinued creatinine clearance testing. The advantages and limitations of creatinine-based estimation equations for evaluating renal function are reviewed in detail elsewhere for the interested reader.¹⁻³

The Laboratory will continue to offer measurement and reporting of urine creatinine *concentration*, total urine creatinine *excretion* and urine *volume* for 24 hour urine collections, so that interested parties may be able to calculate creatinine clearance on their own, if a serum creatinine is ordered concurrently. Outreach orders for “creatinine clearance” will be converted to orders for 24 hr urine creatinine and serum creatinine so that these same data can be used to permit calculation of the creatinine clearance by the ordering physician.

The formula below does not correct for body surface area.

$$\text{Creatinine clearance} = \frac{\text{Urine Cr (mg/dL)} \times \text{Urine vol (mL)}}{\text{Serum Cr (mg/dL)} \times \text{Time (Hrs)} \times 60}$$

Online calculators are available to assist with this function.^{4,5}

John D. Benson, M.D.

References

1. Benson JD. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (EGFR). *Rex Laboratory Bulletin*. Issue 139. June 2008. <http://www.rexhealth.com/workfiles/services/lab/Jun2008LB.pdf>
2. Volmar KE. IDMS-traceable creatinine. *Rex Laboratory Bulletin*. Issue 177. September 2011. <http://www.rexhealth.com/workfiles/services/lab/Sep2011LB.pdf>
3. Lascano ME, Poggio ED. Kidney function assessment by creatinine-based estimation equations. *Cleveland Clinic Disease Management Project*. <http://www.clevelandclinicmeded.com/medicalpubs/diseasemanagement/nephrology/kidney-function/>
4. University of Iowa Healthcare Pathology Laboratory. Creatinine clearance calculator. (Uncorrected for Body Surface Area) https://www.healthcare.uiowa.edu/path_handbook/Appendix/Calculators/creat_clear.html
5. Cornell University Medical College Critical Care Pediatrics. Creatinine clearance calculator. (Can correct for Body Surface Area) <http://www-users.med.cornell.edu/~spon/picu/calc/crclcalc.htm>

Rex Healthcare Cumulative Antibigram Summary 2014 (Organisms Isolated During Calendar Year 2013)

Here are the results of the Rex Healthcare 2014 Cumulative Antibigram. The findings are briefly summarized below.

Target Pathogen Trends (Non-Urine Sources)

Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VRE)

There was a decrease in the number of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolates (39.1% of non-urine *Enterococcus faecium* isolates in 2013, compared to 63.2% in 2012). However, there were too few isolates to draw any meaningful conclusions regarding trends in susceptibility.

Oxacillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (ORSA, MRSA)

The number of resistant isolates remained stable at 52%.

Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase-Producing Organisms (ESBLs)

There was an increase in the number of ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates in 2013 (*Escherichia coli*: 14% of *E coli* isolates in 2013, compared to 8.9% in 2012; *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: 14% of *K pneumo* isolates in 2013, compared to 8.5% in 2012). It is important to note that ESBL-producing organisms also tended to express multiple resistance mechanisms, conferring co-resistance to aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones and TMP/SMX, in many cases. Overall, there were too few isolates to draw any meaningful conclusions regarding susceptibility trends.

Acinetobacter baumannii

There were 53% fewer isolates in 2013 than in 2012 (7 versus 15, respectively). Because of the small number of isolates, it is difficult to draw any meaningful conclusions regarding susceptibility trends.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa

There were 29% fewer isolates in 2013 than in 2012 (69 versus 97, respectively). There were no alarming susceptibility trends.

Enterobacter aerogenes and *Enterobacter cloacae* complex
The number of isolates was approximately 50% lower in 2013, compared to 2012 (33 versus 62, respectively). In 2013, isolates of *Enterobacter aerogenes* were less susceptible to ceftriaxone, meropenem and fluoroquinolones than in 2012, while there were no losses in susceptibility for *Enterobacter cloacae* complex.

Specific Drug Comments

Clinicians should note that fluoroquinolone antibiotics performed very poorly against gram-positive organisms (both urines and non-urines) with respect to susceptibility, so fluoroquinolones would be a relatively poor choice for empiric coverage of infections suspected to be caused by gram-positive organisms (except *Streptococcus pneumoniae*). Nitrofurantoin performed very poorly against most gram-negative organisms (urines only), with the exception of *Escherichia coli*. Of note, the number of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) doubled in 2013, compared to 2012 (6 versus 3 isolates, respectively). There are very limited treatment options for patients with CRE infections.

General Comments and Limitations

It is difficult to draw meaningful conclusions with regard to trends in susceptibility for some species, as the overall number of isolates was small (fewer than 30, or even fewer than 15 in some cases). Other than those noted above, there were no significant or alarming trends in susceptibility relative to the prior year.

Susan Tricas, B.S., M.T. (A.S.C.P.)

Vincent Smith, M.D.

Diane Goodwin, Pharm.D., B.C.P.S., F.C.C.P.

2014 REX HEALTHCARE ANTI-BIOGRAM
January - December 2013 Data

Gram-Positive Organisms	OTHER SOURCES - Not URINE or BLOOD												URINE ISOLATES						
	# Isolates	Beta Lactams				Quinolones	Misc				# Isolates	URINE ISOLATES							
		Ampicillin ⁽¹⁾	Oxacillin	Penicillin ⁽²⁾	Cephalexin non-CSF		Ceftriaxone non-CSF	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Clindamycin ⁽³⁾		Vancomycin	Tetracycline	TMP/SMX ⁽⁵⁾	Erythromycin	Ampicillin	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Nitrofurantoin ⁽⁴⁾
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	83	100				•	•		100	•		•	235	100	•	•	100	•	
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> , Vancomycin Resistant (VRE)	1	100				•	•		0	•		•	2	100	•	•	100	•	
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	14	43				•	•		100	•		•	11	9	•	•	0	•	
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i> , Vancomycin Resistant (VRE)	9	0				•	•		0	•		•	18	0	•	•	0	•	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	416		48			48	48	71	100	93	96	32	60	•	41	41	99	93	97
Methicillin Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA)	215		0			12	12	67	100	93	93	8	26		19	19	100	92	96
Methicillin Susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	201		100			83	84	76	100	93	99	58	34		58	58	97	94	97
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	100		26			24	24	55	100	85	54	33	82		36	37	99	81	39
<i>Staphylococcus lugdenensis</i>	11		73			75	75	55	100	82	91	55	6		83	83	100	83	100
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	8	100		63	100	100		100	100	75	75	75							
BLOOD ISOLATES																			
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	22	100				•	•		100										
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	4	75				•	•		100										
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i> , Vancomycin Resistant (VRE)	2	0				•	•		0										
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	88		50			48	48		100	90	91	35							
Methicillin Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MRSA)	43		0			7	7	54	100	86	84	9							
Methicillin Susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (MSSA)	45		100			82	82	76	100	93	98	59							
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	28		18			8	8	47	100	86	39	27							
<i>Staphylococcus lugdenensis</i>	5		100			100	100	80	100	80	100	80							
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	12	100		70	100	100		100	100	75	83	59							

Numbers reflect the percent susceptible based on achievable blood levels of antimicrobials.

Exercise discretion when interpreting the susceptibility rates of organisms with <30 bacterial isolates.

Bolded numbers reflect a greater than or equal to 10% change in susceptibility relative to the prior year; Blue = improved; Red = worsened.

• not routinely reported not tested

(1) Amoxicillin tested on Strep. pneumo isolates

(2) 38% of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates from non-urine sources, and 13% of blood isolates tested intermediate

(3) Isolates are tested for inducible clindamycin resistance

(4) Nitrofurantoin is ineffective for the treatment of UTI in patients with CrCl less than 60 mL/min

Data obtained from Cerner Millennium and bioMérieux VITEK 2

Please contact the Antimicrobial Stewardship Program Pharmacist at 784-5387 with any questions.

2014 REX HEALTHCARE ANTIBIOGRAM
January-December 2013 Data

Gram-Negative Organisms	OTHER SOURCES - NOT URINE or BLOOD																	URINE ISOLATES													
	Beta Lactams													Aminoglycosides ⁽¹⁾				Quinolones		Sulfonamides											
	Penicillins		Cephalosporins				Penems																								
	# Isolates	Ampicillin	Ampicillin sulbactam	Piperacillin/tazobactam	Cefazolin	Cefoxitin		Ceftriaxone	Cefepime	Meropenem	Amikacin	Tobramycin	Gentamicin	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	TMP/SMX	# Isolates	Ampicillin	Ampicillin sulbactam	Piperacillin/tazobactam	Cefazolin	Ceftriaxone	Cefepime	Gentamicin ⁽¹⁾	Tobramycin ⁽¹⁾	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	TMP/SMX	Nitrofurantoin ⁽²⁾		
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	7	●	100	86	●	●	0	86	86	●	100	86	86	86	60	3	●	67	67	●	0	67	67	67	67	67	67	50	●		
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	3	●	●	100	●	●	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	67	71	100	25	●	●	84	●	76	100	96	96	84	84	95	76		
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	13	●	●	77	●	0	77	100	85	100	100	100	85	85	100	24	●	●	79	●	83	100	100	100	96	96	100	13			
<i>Enterobacter cloacae complex</i>	20	●	●	65	●	0	86	100	95	100	100	100	93	95	80	39	●	●	78	●	80	98	93	95	83	83	80	25			
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	86	48	58	95	95	84	100	100	100	100	92	88	76	76	85	971	52	60	96	95	98	100	93	94	75	75	76	91			
<i>E.scherichia coli ESBL</i>	12	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	100	88	63	75	25	25	63	58	●	●	●	●	●	●	67	65	10	10	22	78			
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	7	0	71	100	86	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	27	0	63	95	74	97	100	100	100	96	96	97	70			
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	43	0	88	93	98	93	100	100	100	100	100	100	95	95	98	235	0	90	97	98	99	99	98	97	95	96	93	30			
<i>Kleb pneumoniae ESBL</i>	6	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	100	100	33	50	33	33	50	16	●	●	●	●	●	●	31	19	6	6	50	6			
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	29	83	93	100	97	97	100	100	100	100	86	83	69	69	66	107	87	96	100	98	99	99	85	90	70	72	75	0			
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	69	●	●	93	●	●	●	90	93	100	96	90	80	80	●	82	●	●	98	●	●	95	94	99	79	74	●	●			
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	15	●	●	●	0	47	93	93	100	100	86	93	86	87	82	11	●	●	●	0	91	100	100	100	91	91	100	0			
<i>Stenotroph. maltophilia</i>	13	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	81	77	5	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	60	100	●			

BLOOD ISOLATES

<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	3	●	100	100	●	●	0	100	100	●	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	3	●	●	100	●	●	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<i>Enterobacter cloacae complex</i>	7	●	●	100	●	●	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	70	49	59	96	96	81	97	100	100	100	94	94	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74
<i>Escherichia coli ESBL</i>	3	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	100	100	33	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	2	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	24	0	96	96	100	96	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae ESBL</i>	1	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	7	100	100	100	100	86	100	100	100	100	71	71	43	43	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57	57
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	12	●	●	100	●	●	●	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	5	●	●	●	0	0	60	100	100	100	80	100	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
<i>Stenotroph. maltophilia</i>	2	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●

Numbers reflect % susceptible based on achievable blood levels of antimicrobials.

Exercise discretion when interpreting the susceptibility rates of organisms with <30 bacterial isolates.

Bolded numbers reflect greater than or equal to 10% change in susceptibility relative to the prior year; Blue = improved; Red = worsened.

● not routinely reported □ not tested

(1) Aminoglycoside cascade reporting rule: gentamicin result will be reported preferentially over tobramycin and amikacin, respectively.

(2) Nitrofurantoin is ineffective for the treatment of UTI in patients with CrCl less than 60 mL/min.

Data obtained from Cerner Millennium and bioMérieux VITEK 2